UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,914	09/14/2006	Michael Farrell	3869/041 US	2011
	7590 12/08/200 ACKMAN & REISMA	EXAMINER		
270 MADISON		DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10016-0601	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/598,914	FARRELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
/Steven O. Douglas/	3771		

/Ste	ven O. Douglas/	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit ith appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in an SIX MONTHS from the mailing NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount oned statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within t AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ration and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correst 			e issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable.	<u>_</u> .		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-19,22 and 23.	ill not be entered, or b) 🔲 will		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	ne status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does In regard to Applicant's repeated argument that Ni fails to disc cardiovascular disease, Applicant's arguments are more narrous concerning the cardiovascular condition of a patient (claim 1 a (claim 9,10 and 11) which Ni discloses (see Final Office Action disease.	close or address details with re ow than is claimed. The claims and 5), monitoring and recording	spect to the determina s require only storing in ng heartrate or echoca	ation of nformation ardiogram data
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO	/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	, ,		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3771

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

ev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091207